# Cardiac Motion Estimation by Using Convolutional Sparse Coding

PhD(c) Nelson Diaz<sup>1</sup> Ph.D Adrian Basarab <sup>3</sup> Ph.D Jean-Yves Tourneret <sup>3</sup> Advisor: Ph.D Henry Arguello <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, <sup>2</sup>Department of Computer Science Universidad Industrial de Santander, Bucaramanga, Colombia. <sup>3</sup>University of Toulouse, Toulouse, Francia.



March 5, 2019

HDSP group





March 5, 2019 1 / 28

# Outline



- Introduction
  - Motivation of motion estimation
  - Example of CDL in Ultrasound Imaging
  - Dictionary filters and coefficient maps
- 2 Method
  - Motion estimation algorithm
- Results
  - Experimentation setup
  - 3 Dictionaries
  - Mean Endpoint Error
  - Error maps estimation
  - Estimation motion comparison
  - Invariant-translation
  - PCA multispectral imaging
  - Invariant-translation
  - Conclusions

### Motivation

• Optimal treatment of cardiac disease requires early detection of abnormalities and accurrate monitoring tools.



### Introduction to convolutional sparse coding (CDL)



Fig. 1: The convolutional model description, and its composition in terms of the local dictionary  $\mathbf{D}_{T}$ .



## Example of CDL in Ultrasound Imaging



 $\mathbf{s}_k$  is modeled as a convolution between the coefficient maps  $\mathbf{x}_m$  and a set of M filters  $\mathbf{d}_m$ .

$$\mathbf{s}_k \approx \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{d}_m * \mathbf{x}_m \tag{1}$$

## Dictionary filters and coefficient maps

A dictionary is estimated off-line by using a set of training cardiac motions denoted as  $\hat{\boldsymbol{s}}.$ 

$$\underset{\mathbf{d}_{m},\mathbf{x}_{k,m}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} \left\| \sum_{m} \mathbf{x}_{k,m} \ast \mathbf{d}_{m} - \mathbf{s}_{k} \right\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \sum_{m} \sum_{k} \|\mathbf{x}_{k,m}\|_{1}$$
(2)  
s.t.  $\|\mathbf{d}_{m}\| = 1 \ \forall m = 1, ..., M$ 

The coefficient maps  $\mathbf{x}_m$  are computed from cardiac motions  $\mathbf{s}_k$ 

$$\underset{x_m}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sum_{m} \mathbf{x}_m * \mathbf{d}_m - \mathbf{s}_k \right\|_2^2 + \lambda \sum_{m} \|\mathbf{x}_m\|_1.$$
(3)

Eq (2) and (3) can be solved using alternating direction method of multipliers (ADMM).

### Motion estimation

- A pair of successive frames (r<sub>k</sub>, r<sub>k+1</sub>) ∈ ℝ<sup>J×N</sup> acquired at time instants k and k + 1
- $(\mathbf{s}_x, \mathbf{s}_y) \in \mathbb{R}^{J \times N}$  where  $\mathbf{s}_x$  and  $\mathbf{s}_y$  are the motions along the x and y axes.
- Since the motion estimation problem is considered independently the displacement vector is equal to s = s<sub>χ</sub> or s = s<sub>γ</sub>.
- The motion estimation field is formulated as the minimization of a cost function with energy  $E_{\rm data}(\mathbf{s})$  penalized by spatial and sparse regularizations, i.e.,

$$\underset{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{s}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \{ E_{\operatorname{data}}(\mathbf{s}) + \lambda_d E_{\operatorname{sparse}}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) + \lambda_s E_{\operatorname{spatial}}(\mathbf{s}) \}$$
(4)

## Data fidelity

The ML estimator is classically computed in the negative log-domain

$$\underset{\mathbf{s}}{\operatorname{argmin}} - \ln \left[ p(\mathbf{r}_{k+1}) | \mathbf{r}_k(n) \right]. \tag{5}$$

Straightforward computations exploiting the Rayleigh statistics of ultrasound imaging detailed in [1] lead to the following data fidelity term

$$E_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{s}) = -2d(\mathbf{s}) + 2\log[e^{2d(\mathbf{s})} + 1] + C$$
(6)

where

$$d(\mathbf{s}) = \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n=1}^{N} [\mathbf{r}_{k+1}(n + \mathbf{s}(n)) - \mathbf{r}_{k}(n)],$$

*n* indicates the pixel index,  $\mathbf{s} = [s(1), \dots, s(N)]^T$  is the vectorized motion, and  $\mathbf{r}_k = [r_k(1), \dots, r_k(N)]^T$  is the vectorized ultrasound image in frame k, and  $C = -\log(2\sigma^4/b)$  is a known constant

### Regularization term

The spatial regularization term promotes the smoothness of the motion estimation field and is defined as

$$E_{\text{spatial}}(\mathbf{s}) = \|\nabla \mathbf{s}\|_2^2$$
 (7)

The proposed sparse regularization determines the motion  $\mathbf{s}_k$  that is best represented as a convolution between M filters  $\mathbf{d}_m$  and the coefficient maps  $\mathbf{x}_m$ , i.e,

$$E_{\text{sparse}}(\mathbf{s}) = \left\| \mathbf{s}_k - \sum_{m=1}^M \mathbf{x}_m * \mathbf{d}_m \right\|_2^2.$$
 (8)

### Motion estimation algorithm

#### **Input:** $\mathbf{r}_{b,1}, \mathbf{r}_{b,2}, \lambda_s, \lambda_d, K, J, \lambda, \rho$ $\widetilde{\mathbf{s}}_0 = \mathsf{LAD}\mathsf{dist}$ motions, $\widehat{\mathbf{s}}_0 = \mathsf{LAD}\mathsf{prox}$ motions Output: s 1: function MEFCDL( $\mathbf{r}_{b,1}, \mathbf{r}_{b,2}, \lambda_s, \lambda_d, K, J, \lambda, \rho, \widetilde{\mathbf{s}}_0, \mathbf{\hat{s}}_0$ ) $\mathbf{d}_m \leftarrow \text{Computes the dictionary by solving (2)}$ 2: 3: $\mathbf{x}_m \leftarrow$ Computes the coefficient maps by solving (3) for $k \leftarrow 1, K$ do 4: for $i \leftarrow 1, J$ do 5: $\operatorname{argmin}_{s} \{ E_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{r}_{b,1}, \mathbf{r}_{b,2}, \mathbf{s}_{i-1}) +$ 6: $\lambda_{s} \| \nabla \mathbf{s}_{i-1} \|_{2}^{2} + \lambda_{d}(k) \| \mathbf{s}_{i-1} - \sum_{m} \mathbf{d}_{m} * \mathbf{x}_{m} \|_{2}^{2} \}$ s.t. $\|\mathbf{d}_m\| = 1 \ \forall m$ Motion estimation $\triangleright$ (Estimated motion field) 7: return s

### Experimentation setup

Table 1: Parameters for each step of algorithm 7, dictionary learning, sparse coding, and cardiac motion estimation.

Step	Parameters	Values	
	Database	LADdist	
	Filter size	16 imes 16	
Dictionary	Filters number	M = 48	
learning	Sparsity term	$\lambda = 0.001$	
	Number of iteration	500	
Sparse	Database	LADprox	
coding	Number of iteration	500	
Cardiac	Regularization parameter	$\lambda_s = 0.75$	
motion	Sparsity term (Systole)	$\lambda_d = \{1  imes 10^{-6}  imes 10^{-3}\}$	
estimation	Sparsity term (Diastole)	$\lambda_{d} = \{1 \times 10^{-9} \times 10^{-2}\}$	

#### Results

#### Experimentation setup

### Parameters $\lambda$ and $\rho$

	$ ho_{0} = 25$	$ ho_1 = 50$	$ ho_2 = 100$	$ ho_{3} = 150$
$\lambda_0 = 0.05$	28.9992	29.2101	28.6905	29.3802
$\lambda_1 = 0.1$	24.9587	24.9932	25.2910	25.2395
$\lambda_2 = 0.2$	20.9748	21.1248	21.2963	21.3028
$\lambda_3 = 0.3$	18.7247	18.8688	18.8703	18.8667
$\lambda_4 = 0.5$	16.1208	16.1271	15.9824	16.0115

Table 2: Image quality (PSNR) with 100 iteration of (CBPDN) for different choices of  $\lambda$  and  $\rho$  by using the motion horizontal ground-truth.

	$ ho_0 = 25$	$ ho_1 = 50$	$ ho_{2} = 100$	$ ho_{3} = 150$
$\lambda_0 = 0.05$	26.9361	27.2072	27.2159	27.3542
$\lambda_1 = 0.1$	22.8906	22.8575	22.9179	23.1302
$\lambda_2 = 0.2$	19.2978	19.2049	19.3376	19.3352
$\lambda_3 = 0.3$	17.3294	17.4144	17.4043	17.3599
$\lambda_4 = 0.5$	15.1404	15.2372	15.2145	15.1526

Table 3: Image quality (PSNR) with 100 iteration of (CBPDN) for different choices of  $\lambda$  and  $\rho$  by using the motion horizontal ground-truth.

## 3 dictionaries, 3 scenarios



PhD(c) Nelson Diaz

#### HDSP group

## Mean Endpoint Error



This error is defined for the *n*th pixel as

$$e_n = \sqrt{[\mathbf{s}_x(n) - \mathbf{\hat{s}}_x(n)]^2 + [\mathbf{s}_y(n) - \mathbf{\hat{s}}_y(n)]^2}, \tag{9}$$

where  $\mathbf{s}_x(n)$ ,  $\mathbf{s}_y(n)$ ,  $\mathbf{\hat{s}}_x(n)$ ,  $\mathbf{\hat{s}}_y(n)$  are the true and estimated (horizontal and vertical) motions at pixel *n*.

#### Error maps estimation

### Error maps estimation







## Estimation motion comparison



PhD(c) Nelson Diaz

HDSP group

# Clustering the PC of **x** (kmeans with 2 classes)





## PCA for multispectral imaging 1

• Implement the principal component transform to compress and reconstruct multispectral images.



## PCA for multispectral imaging 2

• Understand the applications of satellital images in agriculture, geology and surveillance (you will need to understand which is the structure multispectral images)



### Understand the mathematics that PCA involves.

Compute the mean vector  $\mathbf{m}_x = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbf{x}_k$  and the covariance matrix  $\mathbf{C}_x = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathbf{x}_k \mathbf{x}_k^T - \mathbf{m}_k \mathbf{m}_k^T$  Let **A** a matrix whose rows are formed from the eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{C}_x$  ordered in descending order,

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{m}_{x}) \tag{10}$$

Because the rows of **A** are orthonormal vectors, it follows that  $\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \mathbf{A}^{T}$ , and any vector **x** can be recovered from its corresponding **y** by using the expression

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{x}} \tag{11}$$

Using just k eigenvectors

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}_k^T \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{m}_x \tag{12}$$

### Principal components

• Six principal component images, obtained using equation (5).



Results

# Clustering the PC of **x** (kmeans with 2 classes)





### High-correlation between $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{s}$



Results

# Clustering the PC of **x** (kmeans with 6 classes)



### Products

• It was submitted the paper entitled: Cardiac motion estimation by using convolutional sparse coding.



## Conclusions and future work

- A new method for cardiac motion estimation in ultrasound imaging was presented.
- In the future will be studied the sparse decomposition for anomaly detection and cardiac tissue classification.



## Questions?



Conclusions

### Thanks for your attention!

